	Application No.	**Applicant(s)**
Interview Summary	10/612,808	LEWIS ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Manav Seth	2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Manav Seth*.   (3)_____.

(2) *Robert B. Sundheim*.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *07 December 2007*.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
       c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☐ No.
    If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *12*.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached.   g)☐ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *See Continuation Sheet*.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

_____
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with applicant's attorney/agent of record, Mr. Robert B. Sundheim, Registration No. 20, 127, on 12/07/2007, Examiner's amendment:

In The Claims

a.   The following changes to the claims have been approved by the examiner and agreed upon by applicant:

(i) Replace claim 12 limitation "A computer program product, operative in a data processing system, for determining an optimal classifier model for a pattern recognition system, comprising:", with
(Currently Amended) "A computer program product comprising a computer readable medium encoded with computer executable instructions, operative in a data processing system, for determining an optimal classifier model for a pattern recognition system, comprising:"..